Prof. Dr.-Ing. Joachim Schmidt

Automotive Recycling

Engineering Workshop at the Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University NMMU Port Elizabeth South Africa 2011



Ostfalia

Wissenschaften

Hochschule für angewandte

6 Environment and recycling oriented design



Wolfenbüttel & Braunschweig

6 Environment and recycling oriented design



Design recommendations - practical hints

For recycling-friendly construction there are three points in the spot light: building structure, materials and connection types.

In general, there should be a recycling concept for the building structure, the materials should be recycled and the connections should be solvable.

The design recommendations can be structured into the following groups:

Construction structure Materials and surfaces Dismantling- and connecting technique Removal of operating liquids.

Different examples shall be looked at in the following.



Design recommendations for the construction structure

Recommendation: Provide a functional, modular construction

Advantage: simple dismantling, lower dismantling costs



You can find this building structure on many vehicles.



Design recommendations – Practical hints for the construction structure

Recommendation: Prefer horizontal structures

Advantage: simple dismantling, lower dismantling costs





As an example, the horizontal and vertical structure of the instrument panel is shown.

Electrical components can thus be removed in one direction easily and quickly.



Design recommendations – Practical hints for the construction structure

Recommendation: Arrange recycle-suitable components or/and materials in such a way that they are accessible and can be dismantled easily

Advantage: Allows non-destructive dismantling. Defective parts can be replaced more easily (easily serviceable)



Easy dismantling of all components

Wolfsburg



As an example, here are different head restraint shown.

The shown headrest can be completely dismantled.

We first solve the fabric cover, remove the polyurethane foam and dissolve the supporting body. The support body is fastened with simple Clip-connectors to the steel bracket.



Design recommendations – Practical hints for the construction structure

Recommendation: Arrange recycle-suitable components or/and materials in such a way that they are accessible and can be dismantled easily

The picture shown in the middle head restraint is in principle also recyclable.

However, the core material is thermal welded, and therefore, the materials must be procedurally separated.

The right image shows a non detachable head rest. The materials are foamed with each other and not separable.



Body head-rest thermal welded,







Design recommendations for materials and surfaces

Recommendation: Usage of recyclable materials in product

Advantage: saves resources, reduces pollutants

		Material	Ι.					
Recvcl	ability	Metals		In the picture is shown a ranking of the various materials in relation to their recyclability.				
	♦	Thermoplasts		Thereafter, it should preferably				
		Nature fibre reinforced thermoplasts		be used in products metals and thermoplastics, followed by glass- and natural fibre reinforced thermoplastics. Recycling methods for carbon fiber reinforced materials just ar in the development.				
		Layer composites with thermoplasts						
		Elastomers						
		Glass fibre reinforced thermosets						
		Carbon fibre reinforced thermosets		Ceramic materials are sintered. It is practically only a particle				
		Ceramic						



Recommendation: Usage of recyclable materials in product



Thermoplastic materials behave very differently in the recycling process.

For example, PE, PP, PA and PMMA feeding a relatively uncomplicated recovery.

In materials such as POM, it can occur even in the extrusion process to a decomposition of polymer chains.

In PVC can at higher temperatures chlorinate be flagged. together with water forms hydrochloric acid, and thus of machines and plant components due to corrosion.



Recommendation: Identification of materials in accordance with relevant standards and regulations. Identifications must be easily visible and easily legible after the use phase

Advantage: manual fractionation possible, avoidance of complicated subsequent process steps



The component on the left side is clearly labelled polymer blend (PP + EPDM-T20). On the right side, there are several marks within to cover all equipment variants. This information is useless for a recycling process, the material cannot be clearly assigned.



Table for the compatibility of thermoplastic materials

			_	_				-		-	_				-	_	-		
	ABS	ASA	PA	PBT	(PBT+PC)	PC	(PC+ABS)	(PC+PBT)	PE	PET	PMMA	РОМ	рр	Odd	(Sd+Odd)	PS	PVC	SAN	TPU
ABS		•	0						0	0		0	0	0	0	0			
ASA		•	0						0	0		0	0	0	0	0	Ő		
PA	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
PBT			0						0	Ō	Õ	Ō	Õ	Õ	Õ	Õ	õ		Ō
(PBT+PC)			Õ						Õ	Õ	Õ	Õ	Õ	Õ	ŏ	Õ	ŏ	ě	ŏ
PC			0						0			0	0	0	Ō	Ō	Ō		Ō
(PC+ABS)			0						0			0	0	0	0	0	0		
(PC+PBT)			0						0			0	0	0	0	0	0		
PE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	O
PET			0						0		Ō	Ō	0	0	Õ	0	Õ		Ō
PMMA			0	0	0				0	Ō		0	0	0	0	0	Õ		O
POM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	0	0	0	Õ	Õ	Õ	Õ	Õ	Õ
PP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
PPO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	Õ	C				Õ	0	0
(PPO+PS)	0	0		0	O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C				0	0	0
PS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	0	C				0	Õ	Õ
PVC			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ó			C	0	0	0			
SAN			0						0	0		0	0	0	Ō	Õ			0
TPU				0					0				0	0	0	0			

Excess component

- Gcod compatibility over a wide blending range
- Limited compatibility with low volumes

In the picture, the table for the compatibility of thermoplastic materials is presented.

Compatible here means that the materials have each received a chemical compound and defined material properties.

Additive component

O Incompatibility

Additive component



Design recommendations – Practical hints for materials and surfaces

Table for the compatibility of thermoplastic materials

				-				-		-	_				-		_	_	_
	ABS	ASA	PA	PBT	(PBT+PC)	PC	(PC+ABS)	(PC+PBT)	PE	PET	PMMA	РОМ	рр	Odd	(Sd+Odd)	PS	PVC	SAN	TPU
ABS		•	0		•		•		0	0		0	0	0	0	0			
ASA	٠		0						0	0		0	0	0	0	0			
PA	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	
PBT			0			•			0	O	0	0	0	0	O	0	0		0
(PBT+PC)			0						0	0	0	0	0	0	Õ	O	Õ		
PC			0						0			0	0	0	0	0	0		0
(PC+ABS)			0						0		٠	0	0	0	0	0	0		
(PC+PBT)	٠		0			۲			0			0	0	0	0	0	0		
PE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
PET			0			۲			0		0	0	0	0	0	0	Ó		0
PMMA			0	0	0				0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0		O
POM	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	Ō	O	Õ
PP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
PPO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	C			•	0	0	0
(PPO+PS)	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C				0	0	0
PS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			۲	0	0	0
PVC			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		•	C	0	0	0			
SAN			0						0	0		0	0	0	0	0			0
TPU				0					0				0	0	0	0			

Excess component

- Gcod compatibility over a wide blending range
- Limited compatibility with low volumes

For example, ABS is very compatible with PC, as well as ABS with PBT.

A combination of materials PP-ABS or PMMA should be avoided. In many cases, however, we could find these material combinations.

O Incompatibility



Recommendation: The use of recycling-compatible materials in modules/subassemblies, in particular in composite materials

Advantage: compatible materials permit cost-effective recycling of materials without separation



Display unit

Materials used today **** in display unit

View plate: PMMA Case: ABS Lid: PP

Car interior concept optimised for recycling

View plate: PC Case: ABS Lid: ABS 7



Recommendation: The use of recycling-compatible materials



Materials used today PP PMMA SMC/BMC ABS PC

Car interior concept optimised for recycling PC PC-HT PC+PBT PC+ABS

Headlamp reflector



Recommendation: The use of recycling-compatible materials



Instrument panel, door panel, ...

Materials used today PP PVC ABS PA PMMA SMC/GMC

Car interior concept optimised for recycling ABS PC+ABS PBT PET ASA film Compatible paints



Recommendation: Reduction in the diversity of materials, and standardization of materials

Advantage: more cost-effective material recycling



As an example shown in the illustration, there are materials presented in a wiring- harness and an air nozzle.

There is a diversity of materials. An economic separation of the materials is not possible.

We should reduce the variety of materials to a minimum.



Recommendation: Reduction in the diversity of materials, and standardization of materials





Recommendation: Standardise connecting elements

Advantage: no tool changing reduces dismantling and dissasembly times which mean lower costs



The example shows the steering column cover of a vehicle.

It is fastened with two different screws, a torx-screw and a cross-screw, so as for a tool exchange to be necessary and the dismantling costs increase.



Recommendation: Minimize non-detachable types of connection and as far as possible only recycling-compatible materials

Advantage: reduces isolating and separation processes for material recycling, lower process cost



The left figure shows the simple decomposition of a rear light.

By loosening two screws, the materials can be completely separated.



Recommendation: Minimize non-detachable types of connection

In the right example is a doorpanel representing.

A thermo-welded metal bracket holds the map pocket on the front of the panel. During the shredding, the knives of the cutting mill would be destroyed immediately.

A better solution would be to attach the bracket, so that it will have screws or snap fits wich are easily separable.





Recommendation: Minimize non-detachable types of connection



In the illustration, two constructions of seats are shown.

In the left image is felt firmly glued to the polyurethane foam. A separation is not possible and so the material can only be supplied to an energy-recovery.

In the right example, rubberhair-cushion, felt and polyurethane foam can easily be separated from each other.

For all three materials have recycling processes availably.



Recommendation: Minimize non-detachable types of connection



The left side shows an impact cushion door panel, which is a large scale gluing.

The separation is not economically feasible and must be fed as part of an energy recovery.

The image on the right also shows an impact cushion door panel. In this case, the component between the door outer panel and door panel was jammed. It is easy to disassemble and recycle them as one part material too.



Recommendation: Minimize non-detachable types of connection



The material consists of highgrade polycarbonate that is contaminated by the large-scale adhesion.

Material recycling for PC is practically possible, but not in this case.

Here, it should be examined whether a snap connection or a power-strip-connection would be beneficial.



Design recommendations for removal of operating material

Recommendation: Ensure that operating liquids can be removed independently from one another - simply, quickly and completely

Advantage: no environmental pollution results from uncontrolled liquids in subsequent recycling processes



Draining of the vehicles is to ensure that the components are not contaminated with fluids.

Therefore, the drainage must be done at an early stage of the dismantling process. It should not be necessary, if possible predisassembly.

In this example, the flashing light must be dismantled, because a removal of the refrigerant is otherwise not possible.



Design recommendations – Practical hints for the removal of operating material

Recommendation: Design drainage features and ensure that they can be detected and accessed easily

Advantage: specific and rapid removal means lower costs



The picture shows an engine mount from which the suspension fluid is drained.

The engine mounts have no possibility to drain the fluid and must, therefore, be destructively dismantled.

Liquid contained components should always be drained through a drain plug or a breaking point.



Methods of and instruments for the Constructor



For recycling-oriented design various tools and methods are available for engineers.

The designer considers in the design process, the recyclable suitability of the product. Here are various methods and instruments available.

At the end of the process is a design solution that must be reviewed and assessed. Therefor methods and instruments for the assessment of the solution are also available.

In the figure, the most important methods and tools are shown.



Dismantling analysis



Dismantling studies are an important tool for the assessment of products and components.

With them one can expose weaknesses in products and introduce improvements for future products.

Knowledge (selection):

- Recycling quotas and drain off quotas
- Optimization potentials
 - Building structure
 - Material use
 - Material compatibility
 - Material identification
 - Connection technology
- Pollutant potential
- Processing and utilization concept
- Dismantling information IDIS



Example of a component-related energy balance (Source: BMW)

Accumulated consumption of energy for production

Wolfsburg

Weight of the steel intensive axis: approx. 50 kg Weight of the aluminium intensive axis: approx. 30 kg



For the designer component balances are particularly interesting.

On the left side of the picture the energy consumption for an steeland an aluminum axis is shown for comparison.

The aluminum axis requires in the production about 7 times more energy than the steel axis.



Example of a component-related energy balance (Source: BMW)

Accumulated consumption of energy for production, use and recycling of a steel intensive and an aluminium intensive rear axle, driven distance 200.000 km





Assessment of the construction solution - suitability for recycling (materials recycleability KE_M)

Equation:

 $KE_{M} = \frac{\text{Costs of new products + disposal costs in } \notin \text{kg}}{\text{Recycling costs secondary material in } \notin \text{kg}}$

Explanation:

Recycling costs of secondary material = costs (dismantling + preparation + logistics) Secondary material is comparable with the characteristics profile of the new products!

The material is (component-based) suitable for recycling, that is to say its material can be reused economically, if $KE_M > 1$.

The identification number for recycling suitability KE was defined to evaluate the economic viability of a recycling process.

The value compares the cost of new production with the cost of recycling.

If the value is greater than 1, the cost of the new production is higher and hence recycling is economically.

(1)



Assessment of the construction solution - suitability for recycling (materials recycleability KE_M)

Example:

Component made of ABS, weight 1 kg: (values used subject to market fluctuations)

Dismantling steps:	Loosen 12 screws at 3,0 s = $36,0$ s Remove 3 parts at 4,0 s = $12,0$ s	=0.37 € =0.12 €
Preparation (cleaning, grinding, compounding, etc.) per kg		=0.72 €
Logistics (registration, transport, acceptance) per kg	· · ·	=0.20 €
New products per kg		= -1.53 €
Elimination per kg		= -0.26 €

Calculation:

 $KE_{M} = \frac{(-1.53) + (-0.26) \text{ in } \#\text{kg}}{(-0.37) + (-0.12) + (-0.72) + (-0.20) \text{ in } \#\text{kg}} = 1.27$

Result: For this example, the recycling of ABS is ecologically and economically more favorable than using new ABS products

In the figure, the KE-value for a component of ABS is shown. Due to the high price of new material recycling of the old component is recommented.